



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,828	05/27/2005	Makoto Kitabatake	071971-0251	6640
20277 7590 08/14/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096				
EXAMINER				
KALAM, ABUL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2814				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/14/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/536,828

Applicant(s)

KITABATAKE ET AL.

Examiner

Abul Kalam

Art Unit

2814

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 12-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6 and 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 15-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. **Claims 1, 2 and 15-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wu (US 6,590,281)** in view of **Litwin (US 6,507,047)**.

With respect to **claim 1**, and as best interpreted by the Office, **Wu** teaches a semiconductor apparatus (**FIGs. 4 and 7**) comprising:

a semiconductor chip (**25, FIG. 4; 35, FIG. 7**);

a base material (**20, FIG. 4; 32/323, FIG. 7**) made of an electrically conductive material (**col. 3, Ins. 60-62**) and electrically connected (**through solder bumps 26, FIG. 4**) to a part of a lower surface (**250, FIG. 4**) of said semiconductor chip (**25**);

a heat conducting member (**37, FIG. 7**) coming in contact with a part of an upper surface (**351, FIG. 7**) of said semiconductor chip and releasing heat directly from said semiconductor chip (**col. 4, In. 63 - col. 5, In. 9**);

an encapsulating material (**29, FIG. 4**) for encapsulating said semiconductor chip (**20**) and said heat conducting member (**37, FIG. 7**);

wherein a part **(22, FIG. 4; 32, FIG. 7)** of said base material is extruded outside said encapsulating material **(29, FIG. 4)** and works as an external connection terminal **(it is implicit that leads 22/32 work as external connection terminals)**;

wherein a first intermediate member **(26, FIG. 4)** made of an electrically conductive material **(col. 3, Ins. 44-47)** and a second intermediate member **(29, FIG. 4)** made of a material having lower heat conductivity than said first intermediate member **(first intermediate member 26 is made of metallic solder while second intermediate member 29 is an encapsulating material)** are provided between said base material **(20)** and said semiconductor chip **(25, FIG. 4)**; and

wherein the semiconductor chip **(25, FIG. 4)** and the base material **(20)** are electrically connected with each other through the first intermediate member **(26)**.

Thus, **Wu** teaches all the limitations of the claim with the exception of disclosing: wherein the semiconductor chip includes a power semiconductor device constructed by using wide band gap semiconductor.

However, **Litwin** discloses semiconductor chips containing power transistors constructed by using wide band gap semiconductor material **(SiC) (col. 1: Ins. 35-67)**. **Litwin** discloses that transistors based on silicon carbide, which is a well known wide bandgap semiconductor, are another alternative to transistors based on Si or GaAs for power applications at high frequencies.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the semiconductor chip of **Wu** to include wide band gap semiconductor devices, as taught by **Litwin**, because semiconductor devices based on

silicon carbide (SiC) are capable of handling high power densities and can operate at high temperatures, thus improving the speed, reliability and performance of semiconductor chips (**col. 2: Ins. 1-10**).

With respect to **claim 2, Wu and Litwin** teach the semiconductor apparatus of claim 1, as set forth above. Regarding the limitation, "wherein said power semiconductor device has a region where a current passes at a current density of 50 A/cm² or more," Applicant has not shown such a claimed range to be critical or yield unpredictable results, and thus, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 234 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form a power semiconductor device with a current density as claimed because such current densities are common in high power applications.

With respect to **claim 15, Wu** teaches wherein another heat conducting member (**24, FIG. 4**) is in direct contact with the lower face (**250**) of said semiconductor chip (**25**).

With respect to **claim 16, Wu** teaches wherein a contact area between said semiconductor chip (**25**) and said base material (**20**) is smaller than a half of an area of the upper or lower surface of said semiconductor chip (**FIG. 4**).

With respect to **claim 17, Wu** teaches wherein said semiconductor apparatus further comprises another semiconductor chip (**24, Fig. 4**) that is stacked on said semiconductor chip (**25**) and a part of which is connected to said base material (**221**).

Regarding the limitation wherein said power semiconductor device is a vertical element, note that vertical type transistors are well known and conventional in power semiconductor devices (**US 2002/0140067: ¶ [0005]**). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the power semiconductor device as a vertical element, because such a modification is not critical nor does it yield unpredictable results.

With respect to **claim 18, Wu** teaches wherein said external connection terminal (**22, FIG. 4**) of said base material (**20**) are leads (**col. 3, Ins. 60-67**). It is well known in the art that leads are capable of being mounted on a print wiring board. Furthermore, note that limitation of "constructed to be mounted on a print wiring board," is considered functional language. It has been held that an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

With respect to **claim 19, Lin and Litwin** teach the semiconductor apparatus of claim 1, as set forth above. Furthermore, **Litwin** teaches wherein said wide band gap semiconductor is SiC (**col. 1: Ins. 63-66**).

2. **Claims 1-3, 16, 18 and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nakajima (US 5,793,118)** in view of **Litwin (US 6,507,047)**.

With respect to **claim 1**, and as best interpreted by the Office, **Nakajima** teaches a semiconductor apparatus (**FIG. 4**) comprising:

a semiconductor chip (**11, col. 7, ln. 40**);

a base material (12, col. 7, ln. 41) made of an electrically conductive material (col. 7, ln. 51: "copper") and electrically connected (through bumps 11a) to a part of a lower surface of said semiconductor chip (11, FIG. 4);

a heat conducting member (20, col. 7, ln. 61) coming in contact with a part of an upper surface of said semiconductor chip (11, FIG. 4) and releasing heat directly from said semiconductor chip (col. 7, lns. 61-67);

an encapsulating material (15a/15b, col. 9, lns. 28-30) for encapsulating said semiconductor chip (11) and said heat conducting member (20, FIG. 4);

wherein a part (122b, FIG. 4; col. 7, lns. 50-53) of said base material (12) is extruded outside said encapsulating material (15a) and works as an external connection terminal (it is implicit that outer leads 122b work as external connection terminals);

wherein a first intermediate member (11a, FIG. 4; col. 7, lns. 47-49) made of an electrically conductive material (col. 4, lns. 32-33) and a second intermediate member (part of 15a formed between chip 11 and inner lead 122a, FIG. 4) made of a material having lower heat conductivity than said first intermediate member (first intermediate member 11a is made of a metal, such as gold, while second intermediate member 15a comprises resin material) are provided between said base material (12) and said semiconductor chip (11, FIG. 4); and

wherein the semiconductor chip (11, FIG. 4) and the base material (12) are electrically connected with each other through the first intermediate member (11a).

Thus, **Nakajima** teaches all the limitations of the claim with the exception of disclosing: wherein the semiconductor chip includes a power semiconductor device constructed by using wide band gap semiconductor.

However, **Litwin** discloses semiconductor chips containing power transistors constructed by using wide band gap semiconductor material (**SiC**) (**col. 1: Ins. 35-67**). **Litwin** discloses that transistors based on silicon carbide, which is a well known wide bandgap semiconductor, are another alternative to transistors based on Si or GaAs for power applications at high frequencies.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the semiconductor chip of **Nakajima** to include wide band gap semiconductor devices, as taught by **Litwin**, because semiconductor devices based on silicon carbide (SiC) are capable of handling high power densities and can operate at high temperatures, thus improving the speed, reliability and performance of semiconductor chips (**col. 2: Ins. 1-10**).

With respect to **claim 2**, **Nakajima** and **Litwin** teach the semiconductor apparatus of claim 1, as set forth above. Regarding the limitation, "wherein said power semiconductor device has a region where a current passes at a current density of 50 A/cm² or more," Applicant has not shown such a claimed range to be critical or yield unpredictable results, and thus, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 234 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to form a power semiconductor device with a current density as claimed because such current densities are common in high power applications.

With respect to **claim 3, Nakajima** teaches wherein said encapsulating material (**15a/15b, Fig. 4**) is made of a resin or glass (**col. 9, Ins. 28-30**), and said heat conducting member (**20**) is exposed from said encapsulating material (**Fig. 4**).

With respect to **claim 16, Nakajima** teaches wherein a contact area between said semiconductor chip (**11**) and said base material (**12**) is smaller than a half of an area of the upper or lower surface of said semiconductor chip (**FIG. 4**).

With respect to **claim 18, Nakajima** teaches wherein said external connection terminal (**112b, FIG. 4**) of said base material (**12**) is constructed to be mounted on a print wiring board (**col. 6, Ins. 56-57**).

With respect to **claim 19, Nakajima and Litwin** teach the semiconductor apparatus of claim 1, as set forth above. Furthermore, **Litwin** teaches wherein said wide band gap semiconductor is SiC (**col. 1: Ins. 63-66**).

3. **Claim 4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nakajima (US 5,793,118)** and **Litwin (US 6,507,047)**, as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of **Huang (US 2001/0045644)**.

With respect to **claim 4, Nakajima and Litwin** teach the all the limitations of the claim, as set forth above in claim 3, with the exception of disclosing: the apparatus further comprising a radiation fin that is in contact with said heat conducting member and is extruded outside said encapsulating material.

However, Huang teaches a semiconductor package wherein a radiation fin (**260, FIG. 5**) is in contact with a heat conducting member (**210**) and is extruded outside an encapsulating material (**242, FIG. 5; ¶ [0026]**).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of **Huang** into the device of **Nakajima and Litwin**, thus adding a radiation fin to the apparatus, for the disclosed intended purpose of further improving the heat-dissipating effect (**¶ [0026]**).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the claims 1-4 and 15-19, filed April 28, 2008, have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the status of claims 5 and 6, have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues "that claims 5 and 6 should be examined (and should not be withdrawn) because they are directed to the elected species of FIG. 5B." The argument is not persuasive because Fig. 5B does not illustrate the claimed features recited in claims 5 and 6. Although, the features are described in page 20, lines 20-24, the features represent an alternative embodiment to the one shown in Fig. 5B.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul Kalam whose telephone number is (571)272-8346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. K./
Examiner, Art Unit 2814

/Phat X. Cao/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814